

# Can Longevity Risk Alleviate the Annuitization Puzzle?

## Empirical Evidence from Survey Data

by F. Teppa, P. Lafourcade  
Discussion by E. Luciano<sup>1,2</sup>

University of Torino<sup>1</sup>

Collegio Carlo Alberto<sup>2</sup>

Torino, May 4, 2017

## Summary

- The paper "shows" that people who EXPECT to live longer CLAIM to prefer annuities over lump-sum payments.
- On which data? DNB Household Survey 2009
- Which questions? 1) Suppose you were 65: would you prefer to receive 100% of your pension as an annuity or 50% as an annuity and 50% as a FAIR lump sum payment? 2) would you change your mind if your first choice were LESS THAN FAIR?
- How? First, the Authors provide some statistics.
- Second, they compute the marginal effect of the subjective survival probability at 75 and 95 (significant).
- Third, they compute the marginal effect of the annuity equivalent wealth, namely the extra-wealth needed to compensate for the lump-sum choice, in a rational neoclassical CRRA model (significant if subjective probabilities are used).

## Summary

- The paper "shows" that people who EXPECT to live longer CLAIM to prefer annuities over lump-sum payments.
- On which data? DNB Household Survey 2009
- Which questions? 1) Suppose you were 65: would you prefer to receive 100% of your pension as an annuity or 50% as an annuity and 50% as a FAIR lump sum payment? 2) would you change your mind if your first choice were LESS THAN FAIR?
- How? First, the Authors provide some statistics.
- Second, they compute the marginal effect of the subjective survival probability at 75 and 95 (significant).
- Third, they compute the marginal effect of the annuity equivalent wealth, namely the extra-wealth needed to compensate for the lump-sum choice, in a rational neoclassical CRRA model (significant if subjective probabilities are used).

# Summary

- The paper "shows" that people who EXPECT to live longer CLAIM to prefer annuities over lump-sum payments.
- On which data? DNB Household Survey 2009
- Which questions? 1) Suppose you were 65: would you prefer to receive 100% of your pension as an annuity or 50% as an annuity and 50% as a FAIR lump sum payment? 2) would you change your mind if your first choice were LESS THAN FAIR?
- How? First, the Authors provide some statistics.
- Second, they compute the marginal effect of the subjective survival probability at 75 and 95 (significant).
- Third, they compute the marginal effect of the annuity equivalent wealth, namely the extra-wealth needed to compensate for the lump-sum choice, in a rational neoclassical CRRA model (significant if subjective probabilities are used).

# Summary

- The paper "shows" that people who EXPECT to live longer CLAIM to prefer annuities over lump-sum payments.
- On which data? DNB Household Survey 2009
- Which questions? 1) Suppose you were 65: would you prefer to receive 100% of your pension as an annuity or 50% as an annuity and 50% as a FAIR lump sum payment? 2) would you change your mind if your first choice were LESS THAN FAIR?
- How? First, the Authors provide some statistics.
  - Second, they compute the marginal effect of the subjective survival probability at 75 and 95 (significant).
  - Third, they compute the marginal effect of the annuity equivalent wealth, namely the extra-wealth needed to compensate for the lump-sum choice, in a rational neoclassical CRRA model (significant if subjective probabilities are used).

# Summary

- The paper "shows" that people who EXPECT to live longer CLAIM to prefer annuities over lump-sum payments.
- On which data? DNB Household Survey 2009
- Which questions? 1) Suppose you were 65: would you prefer to receive 100% of your pension as an annuity or 50% as an annuity and 50% as a FAIR lump sum payment? 2) would you change your mind if your first choice were LESS THAN FAIR?
- How? First, the Authors provide some statistics.
- Second, they compute the marginal effect of the subjective survival probability at 75 and 95 (significant).
- Third, they compute the marginal effect of the annuity equivalent wealth, namely the extra-wealth needed to compensate for the lump-sum choice, in a rational neoclassical CRRA model (significant if subjective probabilities are used).

# Summary

- The paper "shows" that people who EXPECT to live longer CLAIM to prefer annuities over lump-sum payments.
- On which data? DNB Household Survey 2009
- Which questions? 1) Suppose you were 65: would you prefer to receive 100% of your pension as an annuity or 50% as an annuity and 50% as a FAIR lump sum payment? 2) would you change your mind if your first choice were LESS THAN FAIR?
- How? First, the Authors provide some statistics.
- Second, they compute the marginal effect of the subjective survival probability at 75 and 95 (significant).
- Third, they compute the marginal effect of the annuity equivalent wealth, namely the extra-wealth needed to compensate for the lump-sum choice, in a rational neoclassical CRRA model (significant if subjective probabilities are used).

## Comments: the key question

- Is the exchange between the annuity and the lump sum payment FAIR?
- is the present value of the annuity, using the 2009 term structure of interest rate and the 2009 cohort HMD (human mortality database) survival probabilities 81,000? NO!!!!
- it is worth 66,391 euros. Everyone should prefer a lump sum of euros 81,000;
- NO SURPRISE THAT PROBABILITIES HIGHER THAN THE HMD ONES ARE NEEDED, to shift preferences towards the annuity;
- Still, lower rates than LIBOR in discounting could do the same job.
- The Authors prove that acceptance of the annuity is correlated with probability assessment, but they do not investigate how people discount.



## Comments: the key question

- Is the exchange between the annuity and the lump sum payment FAIR?
- is the present value of the annuity, using the 2009 term structure of interest rate and the 2009 cohort HMD (human mortality database) survival probabilities 81,000? NO!!!!
- it is worth 66,391 euros. Everyone should prefer a lump sum of euros 81,000;
- NO SURPRISE THAT PROBABILITIES HIGHER THAN THE HMD ONES ARE NEEDED, to shift preferences towards the annuity;
- Still, lower rates than LIBOR in discounting could do the same job.
- The Authors prove that acceptance of the annuity is correlated with probability assessment, but they do not investigate how people discount.

## Comments: the key question

- Is the exchange between the annuity and the lump sum payment FAIR?
- is the present value of the annuity, using the 2009 term structure of interest rate and the 2009 cohort HMD (human mortality database) survival probabilities 81,000? NO!!!!
- it is worth 66,391 euros. Everyone should prefer a lump sum of euros 81,000;
- NO SURPRISE THAT PROBABILITIES HIGHER THAN THE HMD ONES ARE NEEDED, to shift preferences towards the annuity;
- Still, lower rates than LIBOR in discounting could do the same job.
- The Authors prove that acceptance of the annuity is correlated with probability assessment, but they do not investigate how people discount.

## Comments: the key question

- Is the exchange between the annuity and the lump sum payment FAIR?
- is the present value of the annuity, using the 2009 term structure of interest rate and the 2009 cohort HMD (human mortality database) survival probabilities 81,000? NO!!!!
- it is worth 66,391 euros. Everyone should prefer a lump sum of euros 81,000;
- NO SURPRISE THAT PROBABILITIES HIGHER THAN THE HMD ONES ARE NEEDED, to shift preferences towards the annuity;
- Still, lower rates than LIBOR in discounting could do the same job.
- The Authors prove that acceptance of the annuity is correlated with probability assessment, but they do not investigate how people discount.

## Comments: the key question


- Is the exchange between the annuity and the lump sum payment FAIR?
- is the present value of the annuity, using the 2009 term structure of interest rate and the 2009 cohort HMD (human mortality database) survival probabilities 81,000? NO!!!!
- it is worth 66,391 euros. Everyone should prefer a lump sum of euros 81,000;
- NO SURPRISE THAT PROBABILITIES HIGHER THAN THE HMD ONES ARE NEEDED, to shift preferences towards the annuity;
- Still, lower rates than LIBOR in discounting could do the same job.
- The Authors prove that acceptance of the annuity is correlated with probability assessment, but they do not investigate how people discount.

## Comments: the key question

- Is the exchange between the annuity and the lump sum payment FAIR?
- is the present value of the annuity, using the 2009 term structure of interest rate and the 2009 cohort HMD (human mortality database) survival probabilities 81,000? NO!!!!
- it is worth 66,391 euros. Everyone should prefer a lump sum of euros 81,000;
- NO SURPRISE THAT PROBABILITIES HIGHER THAN THE HMD ONES ARE NEEDED, to shift preferences towards the annuity;
- Still, lower rates than LIBOR in discounting could do the same job.
- The Authors prove that acceptance of the annuity is correlated with probability assessment, but they do not investigate how people discount.

## Comments: importance of the key question

The issue matters in a low-rate environment, since the lower the discount rate, the higher the effect of longevity risk.



**Figure:** Increase in Actuarial Liabilities from 3 year longevity shock, by Discount Rate (values in percentage). Source IMF (2012)

## Comments: then, what does the paper show?

- If the benchmark were fair, the paper would show that adverse selection exists in the NL!!
- There is high consensus both on the fact that subjective probabilities matter, as the Authors show in this sample, and on adverse selection.
- Still, pleasant confirmation.

## Comments: then, what does the paper show?

- If the benchmark were fair, the paper would show that adverse selection exists in the NL!!
- There is high consensus both on the fact that subjective probabilities matter, as the Authors show in this sample, and on adverse selection.
- Still, pleasant confirmation.



## Comments: then, what does the paper show?

- If the benchmark were fair, the paper would show that adverse selection exists in the NL!!
- There is high consensus both on the fact that subjective probabilities matter, as the Authors show in this sample, and on adverse selection.
- Still, pleasant confirmation.

## Comments: still, are the policy implications robust?

- The Authors claim that "the annuitization puzzle can be alleviated by helping individuals better assess their longevity risk". Can or did policy makers appropriately ASSESS survival probabilities?
  - No, based on regulatory rules and past experience,
  - Probably not, because of uncertainty in probabilities themselves,
  - Probably not, because of cohort-specific probabilities .

## Comments: still, are the policy implications robust?

- The Authors claim that "the annuitization puzzle can be alleviated by helping individuals better assess their longevity risk". Can or did policy makers appropriately ASSESS survival probabilities?
- No, based on regulatory rules and past experience,
  - Probably not, because of uncertainty in probabilities themselves,
  - Probably not, because of cohort-specific probabilities .

## Comments: still, are the policy implications robust?

- The Authors claim that "the annuitization puzzle can be alleviated by helping individuals better assess their longevity risk". Can or did policy makers appropriately ASSESS survival probabilities?
- No, based on regulatory rules and past experience,
- Probably not, because of uncertainty in probabilities themselves,
- Probably not, because of cohort-specific probabilities .

## Comments: still, are the policy implications robust?

- The Authors claim that "the annuitization puzzle can be alleviated by helping individuals better assess their longevity risk". Can or did policy makers appropriately ASSESS survival probabilities?
- No, based on regulatory rules and past experience,
- Probably not, because of uncertainty in probabilities themselves,
- Probably not, because of cohort-specific probabilities .

## Past experience shows that predicting actual survivorship is quite hard...






Figure: Over time, underestimate has been on average three years. Source IMF (2012)

## Improvements in survivorship must be done acknowledging that they are uncertain (model risk)



**Figure:** Source: Dowd K, Blake D, Cairns A. Facing Up to Uncertain Life Expectancy: The Longevity Fan Charts. *Demography*. 2010; 47(1): 67-78.



**Figure:** 10 years Survival Probability simulations UK 65y males. Source: De Rosa C, Luciano E, Regis L, Hedging and risk-return frontier in insurance: an ALM perspective, Springer books, in press.



and that probabilities and their improvements are cohort and nation-specific, even at 65




Figure: Fit of Survival probabilities. Source: De Rosa C, Luciano E, Regis L, Intl Diversification in annuity portfolios,Scandinavian Actuarial Journal. 2016.

and that correlation of probabilities across cohorts and populations is not one




Figure: Covariance matrix between Italian and UK generations. Source: De Rosa C, Luciano E, Regis L, Intl Diversification in annuity portfolios, Scandinavian Actuarial

# Conclusions

- Nice measurement of correlation between self-declared survival probabilities and annuity behavior, or adverse selection in annuity, both when directly measured and when using Brown and Poterba (2000).
- Endogeneity is an issue (education and probability, f.i.).
- Difficult to extract policy implications.

# Conclusions

- Nice measurement of correlation between self-declared survival probabilities and annuity behavior, or adverse selection in annuity, both when directly measured and when using Brown and Poterba (2000).
- Endogeneity is an issue (education and probability, f.i.).
- Difficult to extract policy implications.

## Conclusions

- Nice measurement of correlation between self-declared survival probabilities and annuity behavior, or adverse selection in annuity, both when directly measured and when using Brown and Poterba (2000).
- Endogeneity is an issue (education and probability, f.i.).
- Difficult to extract policy implications.

<http://sites.carloalberto.org/luciano/>